

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 17, 2005 LB 739

However, or if that in fact is the case, then I assume you would have no objection to my simply striking out the calendar year limitation on the surcharge and making it applicable to whenever the fund went below .4. Would that...is there any problem with that?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, I personally don't have a problem, but I would tell you in that section there's also a freeze on weekly benefit amounts if you leave that in place. Now, according...if you take it out, actually the employee is the one that benefits by taking it out because the business community is...

SENATOR BEUTLER: The employer or the employee?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: The employee benefits. Currently, the way we have the bill written, it is better for the employee because...

SENATOR BEUTLER: If the surcharge is in?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: If the surcharge goes into place, the employee also...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Time.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: ...takes a freeze on benefits.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Time, Senators. Thank you, Senators Beutler and Cunningham. Senator Chambers, you're recognized to speak.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Madam President, members of the Legislature, in agony, step by painful step, I was asking Senator Cunningham some questions. But since he's counseling with Senator Beutler, I can proceed and just make my statements. I had mentioned to Senator Cunningham that there are two places where a period of disqualification can run from 7 weeks to 13 weeks...I meant 10 weeks under the current law, and he didn't know which two places I was talking about. So if anybody is interested, on page 34, starting in line 25, it talks about leaving work voluntarily without good cause. Then on page 35,